Reply to Office Action of May 13, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the

present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action,

and amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe the subject matter which

Applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1–3 and 5 have been amended. Claims 4 and 6 have been canceled.

Claims 1–6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shibata et al.

(U.S. Pub. No. 2001/004269 A1). For at least the following reasons, the Examiner's rejection

is respectfully traversed.

Shibata does not disclose or teach "in a state when said position detecting section detects

that said first and second housing are initially unfolded at an angle of at least about 90°, an

operation of said first image pick-up section is started" as recited in amended claim 1.

Shibata discloses that when the angle of the opening/shutting axis 31 is 90° and the

rotation axis has no rotation, the axial unit state sensor 55 detects that the flip unit 20 is to the

front for a telephone function (Paragraph 0245; Steps 1406-1407 on Fig. 14). Shibata also

discloses that when the angle of the opening/shutting axis 31 is 90° and the rotation axis 32 is

rotated at 90°, the axial unit state sensor 55 detects that the two photographic lenses 33, 23 are

directed in the inverse direction for one of the functions of a TV telephone, a digital video

camera, or a digital still camera (Paragraph 0246; Steps 1408-1409 on Fig. 14).

However, Shibata fails to disclose or teach when the axial unit state sensor detects that

the main unit and flip unit are initially unfolded, an operation of the first photographic lens is

started. Therefore, Shibata does not disclose or teach when the position detecting section detects

that the first and second housing are initially unfolded at an angle of about 90°, an operation of

Page 5 of 7

Reply to Office Action of May 13, 2005

the first image pick-up section is started. Thus, Shibata does not disclose or teach all the

elements of the claimed invention.

With regards to claim 3, Shibata does not disclose or teach "in a state where said first and

second housings are unfolded and said first image pick-up section is operating, when said

position detecting section detects that the first housing is turned at angle of at least about 90° to

said second housing, the operation of said first image pick-up section is stopped and an operation

of said second image pick-up section is started" as recited in claim 3.

As mentioned previously, Shibata fails to disclose or teach when the axial unit state

sensor detects that the main unit and flip unit are initially unfolded, an operation of the first

photographic lens is started. Since the Shibata first photographic lens is not operating when the

main unit and flip unit are unfolded, the operation of the Shibata first photographic lens is not

stopped prior to starting an operation of the second photographic lens. Therefore, Shibata fails

to disclose or teach in a state where the first and second housings are unfolded and the first image

pick-up section is operating, when the position detecting section detects that the first housing is

turned at angle of about 90° to the second housing, the operation of the first image pick-up

section is stopped and an operation of the second image pick-up section is started. Thus, Shibata

does not disclose or teach all the element of the claimed invention.

With regard to claim 5, Shibata does not disclose or teach "in a state where said first and

second housings are unfolded at an angle of about 90°, said first housing is turned at an angle of

about 90° to the second housing, and said second image pick-up section is operating, when said

position detecting section detects that said first and second housings are changing in a direction

to be unfolded, an operation of said second image pick-up section is stopped and the operation

of said first image pick-up section is started" as recited in claim 5.

Page 6 of 7

Appln. No. 10/684,631 Amdt. Dated August 31, 2005

Reply to Office Action of May 13, 2005

As mentioned previously, Shibata discloses when the angle of the opening/shutting axis

31 is 90° and the rotation axis 32 is rotated at 90°, the axial unit state sensor 55 detects that the

two photographic lenses 33, 23 are directed in the inverse direction for one of the functions of

a TV telephone, a digital video camera, or a digital still camera (Paragraph 0246; Steps

1408–1409 on Fig. 14). However, Shibata does not teach that the second photographic lens is

stopped and the operation of the first photographic lens is started, when the axial unit state sensor

detects that the main unit and flip unit are changing in a direction to be unfolded. Therefore,

Shibata does not disclose or teach all the elements of the claimed invention.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a

condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the

application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone

interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same

to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 36193.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

1801 East 9th Street **Suite 1200**

Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108

(216) 579-1700

Date: August 31, 2005

Page 7 of 7